

SBR_v6 - Basic report

Respondent info

E-mail	info@xbrl.de
Start time	6/7/17
End time	11/3/17

1. Respondent

Number of respondents: 1

Country	Name	Lastname	Email	Company / Organization	Department
Germany	Steffen	Moeller	steffen.moeller@xbrl.de	XBRL Germany	Office

Characteristics about the country and approach

2. Is there a cross-domain approach (SBR, Standard Business Reporting) regarding the digitalisation of information chains in your country?

Number of respondents: 1



3. Standardization across domains

Number of respondents: 1

	Data dictionaries	Data format	Filing processes	Communication from the government to filers	Platform - website (manual upload/download)	Platform - gateway (system-to-system - connection)	Total	Average
Tax - corporate income tax	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tax - VAT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tax - wage tax	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tax - personal taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Annual Accounts - Micro entities	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	2
Annual Accounts - Small entities	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	2
Annual Accounts - Medium entities	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	2
Annual Accounts - Large entities	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	2
Annual Accounts - Listed companies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Statistics	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Banks (credit revisioning)	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	2
Supervision on Educational institutions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Building corporations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Healthcare	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Financial Institutions - Local FSA	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	3.5
Supervision on Financial Institutions - EBA	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	3.5
Supervision on Financial Institutions - EIOPA	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	3.5
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	8	8	8	3	3	3	33	2.56

4. Additional information regarding standardization across domains

Number of respondents: 1

- The local (German) reporting frameworks are standardized via XBRL Germany while with European frameworks the standardization of EU institutions is followed by German regulators.

5. Please describe the definitions used for categorizing micro, small, medium and large companies.

Number of respondents: 1

- European definitions embedded in German law.

Characteristics about the cross-domain approach


6. Please describe the way how assurance is provided on the data

Number of respondents: 1

- No assurance on digital data required.

7. Semantic standards used

Number of respondents: 1



8. Please provide a reference / explanation to semantic standards

Number of respondents: 1

- Local XBRL taxonomies for local frameworks and European taxonomies and DPMs for European frameworks.

9. Technical standards used

Number of respondents: 1



10. Please provide a reference / explanation to technical standards

Number of respondents: 1

- XBRL and XML standards mostly used.

11. Interface technologies used

Number of respondents: 1



Open text answers: Other interface technologies

- Proprietary interface portals and A2As.

12. Please provide a reference / explanation to interface technologies

No answers.

13. Security standards and technologies used

Number of respondents: 1



Open text answers: Other security methods

- Proprietary standards

14. Please provide a reference / explanation to security methods

No answers.

15. In your country, is there a mandated standard chart of accounts with mappings to regulatory reportings?

Number of respondents: 1



16. In your country, is there a referential chart of accounts with mappings to regulatory reportings?

Number of respondents: 1



17. Additional information regarding your approach with generating aggregated data from transactional data

Number of respondents: 1

- Several best practices for example referential DATEV chart of accounts.

18. What are the main benefits that you are expecting to gain from having the cross-domain approach?

Number of respondents: 1

	Reduce burden on data providers	Reduce data collection costs for data receivers	Reduce reporting data collection time for data receivers	Increase quality of data	Total	Average
Tax - corporate income tax	0	0	0	0	0	
Tax - VAT	0	0	0	0	0	
Tax - wage tax	0	0	0	0	0	
Tax - personal taxes	0	0	0	0	0	
Annual Accounts - Micro entities	1	1	0	1	3	2.33
Annual Accounts - Small entities	1	1	0	1	3	2.33
Annual Accounts - Medium entities	1	1	0	1	3	2.33
Annual Accounts - Large entities	1	1	0	1	3	2.33
Annual Accounts - Listed companies	0	0	0	0	0	
Statistics	0	0	0	0	0	
Banks (credit revisioning)	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Educational institutions	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Building corporations	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Healthcare	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Financial Institutions - Local FSA	0	1	0	1	2	3
Supervision on Financial Institutions - EBA	0	1	0	1	2	3
Supervision on Financial Institutions - EIOPA	0	1	0	1	2	3
Other	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	4	7	0	7	18	2.62

19. Optional information about the main benefits

No answers.

From transactional data to aggregated data


20. Please describe what other benefits you are expecting from the cross-domain approach?

Number of respondents: 1

- Cross domain is only followed by local reporting frameworks with coordination of XBRL Germany.

21. Which stakeholders are the driving forces behind the cross-domain approach?

Number of respondents: 1




22. Optional information about the stakeholders and driving forces

No answers.

23. Which instruments are used to reach the goals of the cross-domain approach

Number of respondents: 1



Open text answers: Other

- XBRL Germany

Motivators to move towards a cross-domain approach

24. Optional information about the instruments used

No answers.

25. What is the responsibility of the shared service centre?


No answers.

26. Optional information about the shared service centre

No answers.

27. Which stakeholders were involved in the architectural choices regarding the data processes and platforms

Number of respondents: 1



28. Optional information about the stakeholders involved in the architectural choices regarding the data processes and platforms

No answers.

Governance model of the cross-domain approach

29. To what extent are stakeholders involved in the implementation of the cross-domain approach?

Number of respondents: 1

	0	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average
Data receiving parties (regulators and other data receiving entities)	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5
Filers (companies)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Data submitters (intermediaries, eg accountants and auditors)	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5
XBRL-community	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5
Data analysts	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5
Software vendors	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5
Ministries / government	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	1	0	0	0	0	6	7	4.29

30. Optional information about the extent of stakeholders involved in the implementation of the cross-domain approach (SBR)

No answers.

31. Please indicate if there is a mandate regarding some reporting domain / some part of the cross-domain approach?

Number of respondents: 1

	Data dictionaries	Data format	Filing processes	Communication from the government to filers	Platform - website (manual upload /download)	Platform - gateway (system-to-system - connection)	Total	Average
Tax - corporate income tax	0	0	1	1	1	1	4	4.5
Tax - VAT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tax - wage tax	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tax - personal taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Annual Accounts - Micro entities	0	1	1	1	1	1	5	4
Annual Accounts - Small entities	0	1	1	1	1	1	5	4
Annual Accounts - Medium entities	0	1	1	1	1	1	5	4
Annual Accounts - Large entities	0	1	1	1	1	1	5	4
Annual Accounts - Listed companies	0	1	1	1	1	1	5	4
Statistics	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Banks (credit revisioning)	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	3.5
Supervision on Educational institutions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Building corporations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Healthcare	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Financial Institutions - Local FSA	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	3.5
Supervision on Financial Institutions - EBA	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	3.5
Supervision on Financial Institutions - EIOPA	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	3.5
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	4	9	10	10	10	10	53	3.85

32. Additional information regarding standardization across domains

No answers.

33. How do you measure the effectiveness of the cross-domain approach (SBR) initiative?

Number of respondents: 1



Open text answers: Other

- Not measured

34. Past studies made about the effectiveness of the cross-domain approach (SBR)

No answers.

35. Please evaluate your progress regarding cross-domain standardization

Number of respondents: 1

	0	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average
Data dictionaries	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Data format	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4
Filing processes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Communication from the government to filers	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Platform - website (manual upload/download)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Platform - gateway (system-to-system-connection)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Total	0	0	5	0	1	0	6	2.33

36. Please evaluate the adaptation level of cross-domain approach (SBR) complying electronic filings per reporting domain

Number of respondents: 1

	0 = paper - mail	1 = unstruct ured data - web upload	2 = structur ed data - web upload	3 = unstruct ured data - system to system	4 = structur ed data - system to system	Total	Average
Tax - corporate income tax	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Tax - VAT	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tax - wage tax	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tax - personal taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Annual Accounts - Micro entities	0	0	0	0	1	1	5
Annual Accounts - Small entities	0	0	0	0	1	1	5
Annual Accounts - Medium entities	0	0	0	0	1	1	5
Annual Accounts - Large entities	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Annual Accounts - Listed companies	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Statistics	0	0	0	0	1	1	5
Banks (credit revisioning)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Educational institutions	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Building corporations	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Healthcare	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Financial Institutions - Local FSA	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Supervision on Financial Institutions - EBA	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Supervision on Financial Institutions - EIOPA	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	5	0	9	14	4.44

37. Additional information about the progress and adaptation

No answers.

38. Please evaluate the adaptation level of all electronic filings system per reporting domain

Number of respondents: 1

	0 = paper - mail	1 = unstruct ured data - web upload	2 = structur ed data - web upload	3 = unstruct ured data - system to system	4 = structur ed data - system to system	Total	Average
Tax - corporate income tax	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Tax - VAT	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Tax - wage tax	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Tax - personal taxes	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Annual Accounts - Micro entities	0	1	1	0	1	3	3.33
Annual Accounts - Small entities	0	1	1	0	1	3	3.33
Annual Accounts - Medium entities	0	1	1	0	1	3	3.33
Annual Accounts - Large entities	0	1	1	0	1	3	3.33
Annual Accounts - Listed companies	0	1	1	0	1	3	3.33
Statistics	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Banks (credit revisioning)	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Supervision on Educational institutions	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Building corporations	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Healthcare	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Financial Institutions - Local FSA	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Supervision on Financial Institutions - EBA	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Supervision on Financial Institutions - EIOPA	0	0	1	0	1	2	4
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	5	14	0	14	33	3.76

39. Please evaluate the level of XBRL filing per reporting domain

Number of respondents: 1

	0 %	20 %	40 %	60 %	80 %	100 %	Total	Average
Tax - corporate income tax	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	6
Tax - VAT	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Tax - wage tax	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Tax - personal taxes	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Annual Accounts - Micro entities	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	4
Annual Accounts - Small entities	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	4
Annual Accounts - Medium entities	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
Annual Accounts - Large entities	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
Annual Accounts - Listed companies	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
Statistics	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Banks (credit revisioning)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
Supervision on Educational institutions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Building corporations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Healthcare	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Financial Institutions - Local FSA	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
Supervision on Financial Institutions - EBA	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	4
Supervision on Financial Institutions - EIOPA	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	4
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	4	4	1	4	0	1	14	2.64

40. Additional information about the adaptation level of all electronic filings

No answers.

41. Please indicate if you have plans to increase standardization in the cross-domain approach for each reporting domain in the near future

Number of respondents: 1

	Data dictionaries	Data format	Filing processes	Communication from the government to filers	Platform - website (manual upload /download)	Platform - gateway (system-to-system - connection)	Total	Average
Tax - corporate income tax	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	3.5
Tax - VAT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tax - wage tax	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tax - personal taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Annual Accounts - Micro entities	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Annual Accounts - Small entities	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Annual Accounts - Medium entities	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Annual Accounts - Large entities	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Annual Accounts - Listed companies	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Statistics	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Banks (credit revisioning)	0	1	1	1	1	1	5	4
Supervision on Educational institutions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Building corporations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Healthcare	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Financial Institutions - Local FSA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Financial Institutions - EBA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervision on Financial Institutions - EIOPA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	6	2	2	2	2	2	16	1.79

42. Additional information about the future plans on standardization in the cross-domain approach

No answers.

Methods of measuring effectiveness of the cross-domain approach

43. Narrative history about the project

Number of respondents: 1

- In DiFin project German banks plan to standardize credit rating process. Therefore, XBRL is used as a standard to send the annual financial statements from customers to banks.

44. Narrative story of future plans

No answers.

45. Narrative story of factors affecting the local adoption

No answers.

46. Narrative story of past or current challenges

No answers.

Evaluation of progress and adaptation of the cross-domain approach

Digitisation of information flows